

Generators of Certain Radical Algebras

JONATHAN I. GINSBERG* AND DONALD J. NEWMAN†

Belfer Graduate School of Sciences, Yeshiva University, New York, New York, 10033

Received April 7, 1969

Let C be the Banach algebra of functions continuous in $[0, 1]$, with $\|f\|_C = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)|$, and multiplication defined by

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_0^x f(t) g(x - t) dt.$$

For any $f \in C$, $f^{*n}(0) = 0$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, so that it is clear that a necessary condition for $f \in C$ to generate C is that $f(0) \neq 0$, but we do not know if this condition is sufficient.

However, let T be the Banach algebra of functions $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$, with $\|f\|_T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty$ and multiplication defined as above. We shall show in Theorem 2 that the obvious necessary condition for $f \in T$ to generate T , i.e., that $f(0) \neq 0$, is also sufficient.

First, though, let us consider another case. Let C_0 be the subalgebra of C consisting of the functions in C which vanish at zero. Clearly, a necessary condition for $f \in C_0$ to generate C_0 is that f does not vanish throughout any interval $[0, a]$, $a > 0$. However, this condition is not sufficient.

Consider

$$h(x) = \frac{e^{-1/x}}{\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}}, \quad 0 < x \leq 1; \quad h(0) = 0.$$

This h belongs to C_0 and is positive except at zero. Let

$$h_1(x) = \frac{e^{-1/x}}{\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}}, \quad x \in (0, \infty); \quad h_1(0) = 0.$$

We consider the Laplace transform $L(f) = \int_0^{\infty} f(x) e^{-sx} dx$, and recall that $L(f * g) = L(f) L(g)$.

* Part of a doctoral thesis at Yeshiva University.

† Sponsored by NSF GP 7504.

It is a well-known theorem of Boole that for any integrable function F , $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x - 1/x) dx$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} L(h_1) &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-1/x} e^{-sx}}{\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\left(t^2 + \frac{s}{t^2} \right) \right] dt, \quad \left(t^2 = \frac{1}{x} \right), \\ &= \frac{s^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\sqrt{s} \left(u^2 + \frac{1}{u^2} \right) \right] du, \quad \left(u^2 = \frac{t^2}{\sqrt{s}} \right), \\ &= \frac{e^{-2\sqrt{s}} s^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\sqrt{s} \left(u - \frac{1}{u} \right)^2 \right] du, \\ &= \frac{e^{-2\sqrt{s}} s^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-\sqrt{s} u^2] du, \\ &= \frac{e^{-2\sqrt{s}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-v^2] dv, \quad (v^2 = \sqrt{s} u^2) \\ &= e^{-2\sqrt{s}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $L(h_1^{*n}) = e^{-2n\sqrt{s}}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. But

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{ne^{-n^2/x} e^{-sx}}{\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}} dx = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-1/x} e^{-sn^2x}}{\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}} dx = e^{-2\sqrt{sn^2}} = e^{-2n\sqrt{s}},$$

so that

$$h_1^{*n}(x) = \frac{ne^{-n^2/x}}{\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}},$$

and thus

$$h^{*n}(x) = \frac{ne^{-n^2/x}}{\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}}, \quad 0 < x \leq 1; \quad h^{*n}(0) = 0.$$

The space C_0 , considered as a subset of $L^2[0, 1]$, is dense in $L^2[0, 1]$ and $\|f\|_{C_0} \geq \|f\|_{L^2}$. By an application of Müntz' theorem [1, p. 43], $\{(e^{-n^2/x})/(x^{3/2})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is not complete in $L^2[0, 1]$; thus, it is certainly not complete in C_0 , and therefore h does not generate C_0 .

We shall now show that $f \in T$ generates T if and only if $f(0) \neq 0$. To do this we must first consider another class of Banach algebras.

Given a sequence $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$, $\lambda_n > 0$, for all n , $\lambda_1 = 1$, $\lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n = \delta_n < 1/n^\epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$, δ_n monotone decreasing, let R_λ be the algebra of power series $W(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, with $\|W\|_\lambda = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |a_n| < \infty$. We note that $\lambda_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta_i$. Also, R_λ is a radical algebra, so that all elements of R_λ have zero as the only point of their spectrum. Our main theorem will be that $W(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in R_\lambda$ generates R_λ if and only if $a_1 \neq 0$.

LEMMA 1.

$$\frac{\lambda_{\alpha_1 t_1 + \dots + \alpha_s t_s + n - j}}{\prod_{k=1}^s (\lambda_{t_k})^{\alpha_k}} \leq \frac{\lambda_{n+(l-1)j}}{(\lambda_l)^j},$$

where

$$t_i \geq l, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s, \quad \sum_{l=1}^s \alpha_i = j,$$

and where all of t_i, l, α_i, n, j are positive integers.

Proof. If $t_i = l, i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, then we have equality. If we increase t_i by 1, then the ratio of the new left-hand side to the original one is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\lambda_{\alpha_1 t_1 + \dots + \alpha_s t_s + \alpha_i + n - j}}{\lambda_{\alpha_1 t_1 + \dots + \alpha_s t_s + n - j}} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda_{t_i}}{\lambda_{t_i+1}} \right)^{\alpha_i}, \\ &= \frac{\delta_{\alpha_1 t_1 + \dots + \alpha_s t_s + n - j} \dots \delta_{\alpha_1 t_1 + \dots + \alpha_s t_s + \alpha_i + n - j}}{(\delta_{t_i})^{\alpha_i}} \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

because δ_n is monotone decreasing. The assertion follows by induction.

LEMMA 2. If $V(z) = \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} a_m z^m, \|V\|_{\lambda} = K$, then

$$\|z^{n-j} V^j\|_{\lambda} \leq \frac{K^j \lambda_{n+(l-1)j}}{(\lambda_l)^j}.$$

Proof. We can write $V(z) = \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} b_m f_m(z)$, where $b_m = a_m \lambda_m / K$ and $f_m(z) = K z^m / \lambda_m$ so that $\sum_{m=l}^{\infty} |b_m| = 1$ and $\|f_m\|_{\lambda} = K, m = l, l + 1, \dots$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} z^{n-j} V^j &= z^{n-j} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_s = j} \frac{j!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_s!} b_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots b_{t_s}^{\alpha_s} f_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots f_{t_s}^{\alpha_s} \\ &= z^{n-j} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_s = j} \frac{j!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_s!} b_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots b_{t_s}^{\alpha_s} K^j \frac{z^{\alpha_1 t_1 + \dots + \alpha_s t_s}}{(\lambda_{t_1})^{\alpha_1} \dots (\lambda_{t_s})^{\alpha_s}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|z^{n-j} V^j\|_{\lambda} &\leq \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_s = j} \frac{j!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_s!} |b_{t_1}|^{\alpha_1} \dots |b_{t_s}|^{\alpha_s} K^j \frac{\lambda_{\alpha_1 t_1 + \dots + \alpha_s t_s + n - j}}{(\lambda_{t_1})^{\alpha_1} \dots (\lambda_{t_s})^{\alpha_s}} \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_s = j} \frac{j!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_s!} |b_{t_1}|^{\alpha_1} \dots |b_{t_s}|^{\alpha_s} K^j \frac{\lambda_{n+(l-1)j}}{(\lambda_l)^j} \quad (\text{by Lemma 1}). \\ &= \frac{K^j \lambda_{n+(l-1)j}}{(\lambda_l)^j} \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. If $W(z) = z + \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} a_m z^m$, $l > 1/\epsilon + 1$, then $\|W^n\|_{\lambda} < \lambda_n e^{\|W\|_{\lambda}-1}$ for $n > (\lambda_l)^{-1/(l-1)\epsilon-1}$.

Proof. Let $V(z) = \sum_{n=l}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, $\|V\|_{\lambda} = K$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|W^n\|_{\lambda} &= \|(z + V)^n\|_{\lambda} = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} z^{n-j} V^j \right\|_{\lambda} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \|z^{n-j} V^j\|_{\lambda} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} \frac{K^j \lambda_{n+(l-1)j}}{(\lambda_l)^j} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2}) \\ &= \lambda_n \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{n!}{(n-j)!} \frac{\lambda_{n+(l-1)j} K^j}{(\lambda_l)^j \lambda_n j!} \\ &= \lambda_n \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{n!}{(n-j)!} \frac{\delta_n \cdots \delta_{n+(l-1)j-1} K^j}{(\lambda_l)^j j!} \\ &< \lambda_n \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{n!}{(n-j)!} \frac{(\delta_n)^{(l-1)j} K^j}{(\lambda_l)^j j!} \\ &< \lambda_n \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{n^j}{n^{(l-1)\epsilon j} (\lambda_l)^j} \frac{K^j}{j!} \\ &= \lambda_n \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{n^{1-(l-1)\epsilon}}{\lambda_l} \right)^j \frac{K^j}{j!} \\ &< \lambda_n \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{K^j}{j!} \quad (\text{for } n > (\lambda_l)^{-1/(l-1)\epsilon-1}) \\ &< \lambda_n e^K = \lambda_n e^{\|W\|_{\lambda}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. If $W(z) = z + \sum_{n=l}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is in R_{λ} , $l > 1/\epsilon + 1$, and $(W^{-1}(z))^m = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} b_n^{(m)} z^n$, where $W^{-1}(z)$ is the formal inverse of $W(z)$, then $|b_N^{(m)}| < (CN^{2-(m-1)\epsilon})/\lambda_N$, for some constant C .

Proof. Let $W_N(z) = z + \sum_{n=l}^N a_n z^n$, $N > m$. Since $W_N'(0) \neq 0$, $(W_N^{-1}(z))^m$

is analytic in a small enough disc about the origin, bounded, say, by C' . If we let $(W_N^{-1}(z))^m = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} c_n^{(m)} z^n$, then $b_i^{(m)} = c_i^{(m)}$, $i = m, m + 1, \dots, N + m - 1$. Thus,

$$b_N^{(m)} = c_N^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'} \frac{[W_N^{-1}(z)]^m}{z^{N+1}} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C''} \frac{z^m W_N'(z) dz}{W_N^{N+1}(z)}.$$

Since $\sum_{n=l}^{\infty} \lambda_n |a_n| < \infty$, one has $|a_n| \leq M/\lambda_n$, for some constant M . Now,

$$|W_N(z)| \geq |z + \sum_{n=l}^N a_n z^n| \geq |z| - \sum_{n=l}^N |a_n| |z|^n \geq |z| - M \sum_{n=l}^N \frac{|z|^n}{\lambda_n},$$

and

$$|W_N'(z)| = \left| 1 + \sum_{n=l}^N n a_n z^{n-1} \right| \leq 1 + M \sum_{n=l}^N \frac{n |z|^{n-1}}{\lambda_n}.$$

We replace C'' by the circle Γ , about the origin, of radius

$$r = \left(\frac{\lambda_N}{N^2} \right)^{1/(N-1)},$$

because, as we show below, $r - M \sum_{n=l}^N (r^n/\lambda_n) > 0$, so that $W_N(z) \neq 0$ inside and on Γ (except at the origin). We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |b_N^{(m)}| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C \frac{|z|^m |W_N'(z)|}{|W_N(z)|^{N+1}} dz \leq \frac{r^{m+1} \left(1 + M \sum_{n=l}^N \frac{n r^{n-1}}{\lambda_n} \right)}{\left(r - M \sum_{n=l}^N \frac{r^n}{\lambda_n} \right)^{N+1}} \\ &= \frac{r^{m-N} \left(1 + M \sum_{n=l}^N \frac{n r^{n-1}}{\lambda_n} \right)}{\left(1 - M \sum_{n=l}^N \frac{r^{n-1}}{\lambda_n} \right)^{N+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$r = \left(\frac{\lambda_N}{N^2} \right)^{1/(N-1)} \leq \left(\frac{1}{N^2(N-1)^\epsilon} \right)^{1/(N-1)}, \quad r^{m-N} = \frac{r^{m-1}}{r^{N-1}} \leq \frac{2e^{\epsilon m} N^{2-(m-1)\epsilon}}{\lambda_N},$$

so that

$$r < 2 \left(\frac{e}{N} \right)^\epsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad r^{l-1} < \frac{(2e^\epsilon)^{l-1}}{N}.$$

By examining the ratio of successive summands of $\sum_{n=l}^N (r^{n-1}/\lambda_n)$, we see that the summands decrease first and then increase, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=l}^N \frac{r^{n-1}}{\lambda_n} &= \sum_{n=l}^{2l-2} \frac{r^{n-1}}{\lambda_n} + \sum_{n=2l-1}^N \frac{r^{n-1}}{\lambda_n} \\ &\leq (l-1) \max\left(\frac{r^{l-1}}{\lambda_l}, \frac{r^{2l-3}}{\lambda_{2l-2}}\right) + (N-2l+2) \max\left(\frac{r^{2l-2}}{\lambda_{2l-1}}, \frac{1}{N^2}\right) \\ &\leq (l-1) \max\left[\frac{(2e^\epsilon)^{l-1}}{N\lambda_l}, \frac{(2e^\epsilon)^{2l-3}}{N^{2-\epsilon}\lambda_{2l-2}}\right] \\ &\quad + (N-2l+2) \max\left[\frac{(2e^\epsilon)^{2l-2}}{N^2\lambda_{2l-1}}, \frac{1}{N^2}\right] \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{N}, \quad \text{where} \quad C_1 = \frac{(l-1)(2e^\epsilon)^{2l-3}}{\lambda_{2l-2}} + \frac{(2e^\epsilon)^{2l-2}}{\lambda_{2l-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=l}^N \frac{nr^{n-1}}{\lambda_n} &\leq (N-l+1) \max\left(\frac{l r^{l-1}}{\lambda_l}, \frac{1}{N}\right) \\ &\leq (N-l+1) \max\left[\frac{l(2e^\epsilon)^{l-1}}{N\lambda_l}, \frac{1}{N}\right] \\ &\leq C_2, \quad \text{where} \quad C_2 = \frac{l(2e^\epsilon)^{l-1}}{\lambda_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$b_N^{(m)} \leq \frac{2e^{\epsilon m N^{2-(m-1)\epsilon}}(1 + MC_2)}{\lambda_N \left(1 - \frac{MC_1}{N}\right)^{N+1}} < \frac{C_3 N^{2-(m-1)\epsilon}}{\lambda_N},$$

where $C_3 = 4e^{\epsilon m}(1 + MC_2) e^{MC_1}$.

LEMMA 5. $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n z^n$ generates R_λ if $a_1 \neq 0$.

Proof. Let D be the closed sub-algebra generated by f . The spectrum of f consists of the point 0 and f^{-1} is analytic at 0 (because $a_1 \neq 0$). Hence $f^{-1}(f(z))$ belongs to D [2, p. 78]. But $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$ and z generates R_λ ; thus $f(z)$ generates R_λ .

THEOREM 1. $W(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n z^n \in R_\lambda$ generates R_λ if and only if $a_1 \neq 0$.

Proof. If $a_1 = 0$, then all powers of W also have zero as their coefficient of z and W does not generate R_λ .

If $a_1 \neq 0$, we can assume without loss of generality that $a_1 = 1$.

Clearly, $W_1(z) = z + \sum_{n=l}^{\infty} c_n z^n$, $l = [1/\epsilon] + 2$, is generated by $W(z)$, for some $\{c_n\}_{n=l}^{\infty}$. Consider $(W_1^{-1}(z))^m = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} b_n^{(m)} z^n$, $m \geq 4/\epsilon + 1$, and let $f_N(z) = \sum_{n=m}^N b_n^{(m)} z^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \| [W_1^{-1}(W_1(z))]^m - f_N(W_1(z)) \|_{\lambda} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} b_n^{(m)} W_1^n(z) \right\|_{\lambda} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |b_n^{(m)}| \|W_1^n(z)\|_{\lambda} \\ &< C_3 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2-(m-1)\epsilon}}{\lambda_n} \lambda_n e^{\|W_1\| \lambda^{-1}} \quad (\text{for } N > (\lambda_l)^{-1/(l-1)\epsilon-1}) \\ &\leq C_3 e^{\|W_1\| \lambda^{-1}} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be made arbitrarily small, so that $f_N(W_1(z)) \rightarrow [W_1^{-1}(W_1(z))]^m = z^m$. Hence, $\{z^m\}_{m=[4/\epsilon]+2}^{\infty}$ is generated by W_1 and therefore, by W . Thus, $W(z) - \sum_{n=[4/\epsilon]+2}^{\infty} a_n z^n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{[4/\epsilon]+1} a_n z^n$ is generated by W . But $z + \sum_{n=2}^{[4/\epsilon]+1} a_n z^n$ generates R_{λ} , by Lemma 5, so that W does the same. This completes the proof.

Let R be the algebra obtained by setting $\lambda_n = 1/(n - 1)!$

THEOREM 2. $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \in T$ generates T if and only if $f(0) \neq 0$.

Proof. If $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \in T$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! a_n z^{n+1} \in R$. Consider the transformation L from T into R , given by $L(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! a_n z^{n+1}$. We have $L(x^n) = n! z^{n+1} = \int_0^{\infty} x^n e^{-x/z} dx$, so that $L(x^n)$ equals the Laplace transform of x^n , $0 \leq x < \infty$. Hence, if P and Q are polynomials, $L(P * Q) = L(P) L(Q)$ and, since, $L(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} L(\sum_{n=0}^N a_n x^n)$ (in R), it follows that $L(f * g) = L(f) L(g)$, for all $f, g \in T$. Furthermore, $\|L(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n)\|_R = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| = \|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n\|_T$, so that L is an isometric isomorphism from T onto R . By Theorem 1, $L(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-1} (n - 1)! z^n$ generates R if and only if $a_0 \neq 0$, so that f generates T if and only if $a_0 \neq 0$, i.e., if and only if $f(0) \neq 0$.

REFERENCES

1. N. I. ACHIESER, "Theory of Approximation." Ungar, New York, 1956.
2. LYNN H. LOOMIS, "An Introduction to Abstract Harmonic Analysis." Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey, 1953.